| 1 | The Honorable Richard A. Jones | |
|----|--|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE | |
| 6 | WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, N | O. CR21-110 RAJ |
| 9 | Plaintiff | |
| 10 | | RDER GRANTING STIPULATED OTION TO RE-NOTE |
| 11 | | EFENDANT'S MOTIONS IN LIMINE |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | THE COURT has considered the stipulated motion to re-note Defendant's | |
| 16 | motions in limine. Having reviewed the stipulated motion and the record, the Court finds | |
| 17 | that the request to re-note the defense motion is appropriate. | |
| 18 | IT IS ORDERED that the Defendant's Motions in Limine (Dkt. 46) is re-noted for | |
| 19 | March 18, 2022, with any response by the government due by March 16, 2022. | |
| 20 | DATED this 8th day of March, 2022. | |
| 21 | day of March, 2022. | |
| 22 | | 2.4 /0 / |
| 23 | Richard A. Jones | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | United States District Judge Presented by: | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | <u>s/ Casey S. Conzatti</u> CASEY S. CONZATTI | |
| 28 | Assistant United States Attorney | |
| | Order Granting Stipulated Motion to Re-Note Defendant's Motion i | n Limine - 1 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY |

United States v. Frick, CR21-110 RAJ